

quirements are met. From a reliability standpoint, sub-system and component MTBF are recorded. At this point, production and manufacturing processes may already be established. Major redesign efforts are complete, and the system performs in its operational environment. Major changes to processes or materials may be infeasible, time consuming, or costly. Attention to reliability performance in earlier phases of development should theoretically reduce the possibility of major redesigns.

Nevertheless, OT&E provides a snapshot of overall system reliability. Frequent subsystem failure rates during OT&E should serve as a sign that reliability will decrease once the system is fielded. Design teams should thoroughly analyze failures, root causes, and their impact once fielded. Hopefully the reliability action team has evaluated the system, and the risk of low reliability after fielding the system is mitigated.

### **OT&E Is Not the End**

Reliability focus does not end with OT&E! Once the system is fielded, the reliability action team should become a permanent part of sustainment activities. The team should identify critical systems and components where low reliability rates prevent mission accomplishment. Further, investigations should be conducted to answer the following critical questions:

- What sub-systems are degrading the quickest?
- What is the root cause (vendor change, new environmental conditions, or component manufacturing processes)?
- What is the corrective action (component replacement, improved manufacturing, or repair)?

### **System Reliability Synonymous With Performance**

The purpose of this article was to propose the release of reliability design practices from the confines of a single IPT,

and address the source of reliability performance at the component and sub-system level. Reliability is a viable performance characteristic, with its roots nested in the quality of components, materials, interfaces, workmanship, and manufacturing processes.

The recommendations in this article may bear a sharp resemblance to design activities conducted for "traditional" performance factors of systems. Regarding *system reliability* as synonymous with the term *performance*, program managers will find that total life cycle costs can be reduced by forming an action team dedicated toward achieving robust "reliability performance."

### **REFERENCES**

1. Kapur, K.C., *Reliability in Engineering Design*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1977.
2. *Reliability Toolkit: Commercial Practices Edition*, Reliability Analysis Center, Rome Laboratory, New York, 1993.

# DoD HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM 2000 USERS GROUP CONFERENCE

## **Albuquerque, New Mexico • June 5-8, 2000**

**T**he Users Group Conference (UGC) is for and about the users of the DoD High Performance Computing Modernization Program (HPCMP). It is a time when the users can come together to share their computational experiences, technical solutions, and new approaches to solving their problems.

The Year 2000 DoD High Performance Modernization Program Users Group Conference will be held June 5 through June 8 at the Hilton Albuquerque in beautiful Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Registration includes:

- One or more tutorials Monday June 5
- Keynote speakers, Tuesday and Wednesday mornings
- Technical papers held Tuesday through Thursday
- Social on the evening of Wednesday, June 7.

For more information on the DoD UGC 2000, visit the HPCMP Web site at <http://hpcmo.hpc.mil/Htdocs/UGC/index.html>.

